



YET CHONG ELECTRIC COMPANY

BLK C-4, 13/F., WING HING IND.BLDG., 14 HING YIP ST., KWUN TONG, KLN, H.K.

TEL : (852) 23440137 FAX : (852) 23419016

Home page: www.ycec.com Email: lzm@ycec.com

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Art Unit 3644 Examiner
Washington, D.C. 20231
Re: US Patent Application No. 10/029,951
Dear Mr. Dinh

RECEIVED

DEC 12 2003

Fax: 1 703 306 4195

Date: Oct.27, 2003

GROUP 3600

Thanks for given me 6 months for the second defenses.

But in your second argument on the writing of examination, there are too many places that i do not understanding, therefore i do seek for your advice!

1. In above-mentioned argue writing of page5 (the line of 11th):

¶ Garehime discloses an aircraft anti-hijacking system having a concealed monitoring device to deter potential hijackers but lacks the system to ...]

© But, in the Garehime's invention the word of "concealed" that was no find?

2. In above-mentioned argue writing of page5 (the line of 17th):

¶ ...Feher discloses means to monitor the aircraft from the ground as well as "Flight Trajectory Calibrator 80" are well known in the art....]

© But, the "Flight Trajectory Calibrator 80" of previous art. in Feher's invention that was no find?

3. In above-mentioned argue writing of page5 (the line of 18th)

¶ Borthayre discloses a system to take control away from the cockpit to the ground system via a special frequency band is well known in the art. Borthayre inherently has a flight trajectory calibrator system to know where the aircraft is and going so it can control the aircraft.]

© But, the "a special frequency band" and "a flight trajectory calibrator system" in Borthayre's invention that was no find the words?

© The Borthayre's invention lacked the "remote-controlled **automatic/semiautomatic** steering" and that was very important in the art. !

4. In above-mentioned argue writing of page7 (the line of 4th) :

¶ Garehime as modified by Zekich, Feher, and Borthayre discloses all claimed parts of the invention except for the narcotic sprayer used to put terrorists to sleep. However, Boudreau discloses the use of narcotic sprayers is well known in the art.]

© But, why the narcotic sprayer was no find in any previous art. by your search report? Boudreau, Who is he and how well does he know in the art.?

Why was there so much mistakes in the writing of examination? Inventor thought that this should not be coming from professional level of Examiner ! Therefore inventor wants to ask Mr.Dinh can reply instruction!

From following chart, the invent's novelty of claim cannot be neglected by any reason, inventor doubted that there had been a shameful backstage force wanting to use the administrative means oppression Examiner make to exclude to eliminate the application of inventor:

The "single person checkroom" is a new and useful process than the previous invention, the Claim of 1a & 2-6 novelty can confirm by the comparison:

Lin's single person checkroom	Special beams of raster curtain for test	"Unidirectional" bullet-proof glass	Double door program setting system	weight means	Five-finger mold hand test	Voice recognition	Image test
Lin's Novelty	novelty	Unidirectional	novelty	<u>a new use of a known process</u>	novelty	novelty	novelty
Zerick's checkroom	no	bullet-proof glass	no	no		no	no
Jacoby et al's	no	no	no	no	out-of-date	no	no
Anastassakis	no	no	no	different field	no	no	no

The Claim of 1 b-c & 7-9 novelty can confirm by the comparisons

Lin's invention	<u>concealed monitoring device!</u>	<u>flight trajectory calibrator system</u>	<u>Remote-controlled automatic/semiautomatic steering</u>	<u>narcotic sprayers</u>	<u>power supply of independent and concealed</u>
Lin's novelty	<u>concealed no precedent</u>	have no precedent	Have no precedent	have no precedent	Have no precedent
Garehime	<u>monitoring device!</u>				no
Borthayre		The story is make up			no
Borthayre			not exist		no
Boudreau				Who is he?	no

United States is a big country, it is ruled by law and the modern civilization also had good record, the prejudice had appeared to be a form of overdoing outside the art of examination. Inventor asked for fair treatment and hopes that Mr. Dinh will pay attention- especially to the fact that the original two dotted lines and the two line that go between Fig. 1f & Fig. 4f was being omitted from reason why did the P. C of RABIN & BERDO. not file its reply before the deadline of Nov.14, 2002 points out that they are secretly trying to make the inventor unable to get the patent to ! Why must the inventor have to file it with speed post on his own? Examiner, Mr. Tien Quang Dinh, was an eyewitness that it is not an accidental incident but rather a violation of professional ethics.

In this case, Inventor can offer the application of Agent's letter by ShenZhen of china only, Examiner refer to inventor in addition that it will have what can do still? Please in view the attached list of <http://www.ycec.com/Defense-230903.htm> and glance over the letter and amendment and to instruction the inventor how to makes the defense more perfectly!

Please reply by Email to lzmyc@singnet.com.sg , ycec@163.net, lzm@ycec.com.

Thank you for your Kind attention.

Sincerely yours,


Zhen-Man Lin

Attach <http://www.ycec.com/Defense-230903.htm>

attach 1	www.ycec.com/us-office-250603.tif	
attach 2	<u>Amendment</u> (draft)	12-09-03
	News figure: <u>Fig.1</u> <u>Fig.2</u> <u>Fig.3</u> <u>Fig.4</u> <u>Fig.5</u> <u>Fig.6</u>	14-09-03
attach 3	<u>ShenZhen Agent Letter</u> <u>Old-Fig.1 & Fig.4</u>	15-07-03
attach 4	<u>CN1408608A</u> <u>Figure 1-5</u>	09-04-03

A2

YET CHONG ELECTRIC COMPANY

BLK C-4, 13/F., WING HING IND.BLDG., 14 HING YIP ST., KWUN TONG, KLN, H.K.

TEL : (852) 23440137 FAX : (852) 23419016

Home page: www.ycec.com Email: info@ycec.com

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Art Unit 3644 Examiner Mr. Dinh, Tien Quang
Fax: 1 703 306 4195
Date: Nov. 28, 2002

Re: US Patent Application No. 10/029,951 in the name of Zhen-Man Lin
Claiming priority from Chinese Patent Application
Filing date: 12/31/2001

Dear Mr. Dinh


I must really say a big thank you to you as I can find the published patent applications on USPTO website.

I had find a mark "f" which shown the two doors indicated by the a dotted line are deleted in the drawing of Fig. 1 & 4, but the cancellation was unknown to me and it will spoil the essential parts of my patent as they are very important in the original design. (See attach)

Therefore, I had to request originally of My Patent application agent to explain about it with you as they bear part of the blame for the cancellation.

Thank you for your Kind attention.

Sincerely yours,



Zhen-Man Lin
lzm@ycec.com ,
hcec@szonline.net or
Fax: 00852-23419016

Fax to originally of agent
Allen Wood - Reg. No. 28,134
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.
CUSTOMER NO. 23995
Telephone: 202-371-8976
Telefax: 202-408-0924

61

AF / 3644



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3644
Examiner: Tien Quang Dinh

In re PATENT APPLICATION of:

Applicant(s) : Lin ZHEN-MAN
Serial No. : 10/029,951
Filed : December 31, 2001
For : DEVICE FOR PRECAUTION
SKYJACKING AND SYSTEM

)
) AMENDMENT
)
)
)

Amendment by Applicant
Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
DEC 18 2003

GROUP 3600

AMENDMENT on Nov.28, 2003.

D1	ATTACHMENT A-- SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION	17 Pages
D2	MARKED-UP --SPECIFICATION	17 Pages
D3	ATTACHMENT B -- CLAIM CHANGES	2 Pages
D4	MARKED-UP -- CLAIM CHANGES	2 Pages
D5	ATTACHMENT C -- SUBSTITUTE DRAWING	6 Pages
D6	MARKED-UP -- PROPOSED DRAWING CHANGES	5 Pages
D7	IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE. (AMENDMENT)	18 Pages
	Letter to Mr. Dinh of Examiner	Oct.27, 03
	Letter to examiner's supervisor	Nov.28, 03

Attached Filed

A1	Priority (CN01255903.2) publicize number (CN1408608A) in china	Apr.09, 2003	15 pages
A2	ShenZhen Agent Letter Old-Fig.1 & Fig.4	July.15, 2003	4 pages
A3	Letter to Examiner request amend in page 13	Nov. 28, 2002	2 pages